## **ATTACHMENT**

For Item

#6

Wednesday, August 7, 2019

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION RECEIVED BY THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

DISTRIBUTED 08/05/19

Jacob, Dianne

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 10:09 AM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

**Subject:** 

FW: Stop the 5-G madness!

**Attachments:** 

San Diego 5-G Protest.docx

From: tinaerwin@aol.com <tinaerwin@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2019 9:59 AM

**To:** Cox, Greg <Greg.Cox@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Jacob, Dianne <Dianne.Jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Gaspar, Kristin <Kristin.Gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Fletcher, Nathan (BOS) <Nathan.Fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Desmond, Jim

<Jim.Desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: Stop the 5-G madness!

I have attached my protest document. You were elected to represent the safety of the PEOPLE, not personal interests or the interests of big business.

### Thank you, Tina Erwin

### Tina Erwin CDR USN [Ret]

<u>The Karmic Path Radio Show</u>, Thursdays 4pm PST on Transformation Talk Radio The Karmic Path World of Courses:

Better Karma for Better Living®

Teaching the Living to Help the Dead®

Explaining the Physics of Metaphysics®

San Diego County Resident Comments on Proposed Wireless Ordinance Changes as will appear in BOS Meeting Agenda for August 7, 2019

Your Name: Tina D. Erwin

Your Street Address: 18 Lake Helix Drive

City, state, zip: La Mesa, CA 91941

Your phone # 619-466-6918

Your email address: Tinaerwin@aol.com

2019 (Date) San Diego County Board of Supervisors County Administration Center 1600 Pacific Hwy, Rm 335 San Diego, CA 92101

greg.cox@sdcounty.ca.gov, dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov, kristin .gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov,

nathan.fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov, jim.desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov

Dear County Supervisors, I am writing to express my concern about the installment of 5G/small-cell antennas throughout San Diego County. The threats to public health, safety, privacy, security, property values, landscapes, and more must be addressed in the wireless ordinance. The citizens of San Diego County require your protection. I am grateful that The County is taking measures to incorporate such protections into an updated wireless ordinance, since the version approved in February makes no such provisions. Based on review of the latest ordinance draft, there are still a number of ways this ordinance could be improved

to ensure the best for SD County citizens, which I trust is your primary goal.

I ENCOURAGE YOU NOT TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE CURRENT DRAFT ORDINANCE UNTIL IT CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING:

Restrict small cells in residential areas, and sensitive zones where children, elderly and those with special/medical needs will be.

No small cell should be allowed within (a minimum) of 1000 feet from a residential property line, in any direction. Or within 1500---3,000 ft of civic areas, including schools, hospitals, libraries, churches, daycares, community centers, senior facilities, police and fire stations, parks, and sports fields - to the property lines. Verizon has a commercial on YouTube where they measured the distance of a 5G signal (through hills and obstacles) at a distance of greater than 3,000 feet, so there should be zero issue with these setbacks, they are a necessity.

Restrict proximity of small cells in business areas Stronger language.

Use words which are definitive, instead of suggestions which can be ignored.

Permit approvals must be made to be discretionary rather than ministerial,

with the entire shot clock used, so the public can provide input that can be acted on, with a new streamlined system to accommodate objections and ADA Accommodations Requests. ADA language and provisions.

The ordinance lacks language that protects Americans with disabilities, and their use of these rights-of-way and travel paths, where the small cells structures will be deployed.

Small cells may make it impossible to occupy one's home or yard, as well, if sensitive to rf radiation.

ADA protection must not be discriminatory toward those who have physical mobility disabilities only. What about citizens with EHS, pacemakers, ADD/ADHD, autoimmune, etc? Also, provide a set of directions for these requests with a timeline for granting them and incorporate into the shot clocks and beyond (which can then hold up the shot clock). These rights-of-way and public streets belong to us too, and this liability belongs to San Diego County.

### Require a Master Plan.

The master plan needs to be coordinated across all carriers, and provide information for each antenna project like RF exposure levels, power levels, frequencies, and location address. The master plan should also be published online with ample notice, such that citizens can provide input BEFORE the antenna is installed. REQUIRE that these companies have a plan and strategy for where they place the antennas, and enforce their compliance. This will minimize excessive, haphazard installments. If there is no plan, require it as part of permit application.

### No Colocation!

Co-location means multiple antennas to a single pole. And despite the thinking, it does not reduce clutter. Actually, it produces a huge eyesore of a pole will multiple projections hanging off of it. It DRAWS more attention because of the extra hardware. Colocation allows poles to become scarily top-heavy, and also exposes citizens to higher doses of radiation since multiple antennas will emit from a single location. One antenna per pole, and no antennas within 1000 feet of ANY other antenna, even from other providers.

No cutting or disturbance of trees and landscaping at all.

This must be forbidden! There are other towns and cities with gorgeous, old trees being chopped down or excessively trimmed to allow for small cell deployment. Not going to happen in San Diego!

### Provide clear-easy-to-reach County support for citizens.

We need to have a dedicated hotline/service to contact The County when issues with the small cells arise, such as noise, safety, health problems, or other complaints need to be reported. This service can be funded by the wireless providers as a part of their application/bond/yearly renewal fees.

### Insurance for rf radiation and other injuries, and Bonds.

Require proof that the companies, annually, have adequate insurance (\$2 million dollars each small cell) and bonds of \$500,000 per small cell to protect against malfunction, accidents, damages, and injuries, including from exposure to nonionizing radiation. These provide protection for the County too.

### Random third-party testing.

Random, independent third party inspections, by companies contracting with the County, must be required at least 3-4 times annually, at the expense of the telecom company owning the small cells, to ensure compliance with FCC guidelines for each pole (in total) and for each small cell on the pole.

Require notice for any residence within a mile of a proposed small cell site, to provide ample time for residents to come forward and provide input, particularly those with medical reasons to avoid rf radiation. During noticing, large signage noticing must also be placed on prospective poles with full details of application plans in large print, including frequencies and power, size of small cell.

Approvals for permits may only be reissued yearly with new reapplication, with proof of having met all criteria including noticing, liability insurance as above, and bonds.

Small cells may not be upgraded without a full new application process. Require safety signage on all poles.

All poles must have necessary warning signs and RF safety information as well as company and County contact names and phone numbers.

Include total rf emission levels near bottom ten feet of poles or general area if more than one pole.

Keep small cells away from parks and ball fields – at least 3000 ft away.

The County Parks Dept. income desires do not come ahead of public safety and aesthetics. Cell towers and small cells are a safety hazard and produce clutter in our parks and ballfields.

Thank you for taking the time to consider and apply these suggestions. I look forward to seeing these changes in the ordinance draft before it is voted on.

Sincerely, Tina D. Erwin

Signature Printed name: Tina D. Erwin, CDR, USN, [Ret]

•

From:

Laurel Lemarie <whyfret1@mac.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 10:33 AM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

5G concerns and conditions

**Attachments:** 

5G Conditions.pdf

Hi,

Please accept my signature concerning concerns and conditions over the new 5G antenna ordinance.

Laurel Lemarie Member, San Dieguito Planning Group

### URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

- 1. We are asking for a moratorium because the citizens of San Diego County are unaware that the ordinance you are about to pass on August 7th will allow untested 5G cell towers in front of their homes as early as next week. These 5G cell towers emit radiation that has never been tested for health or safety for plants, animals or humans. We need to wait for the 9th and 10th Circuit Court cases brought by other cities to be decided before cell towers are put in place. The courts could turn back the FCC directive that stripped local control from municipalities in the first place.
- 2. The FCC directive strips San Diego County of nearly all aspects of local control. This is wrong and we believe San Diego County should fight in court to protect our local rights to decide crucial issues that impact our lives especially the future well-being of our children.
- 3. Our homes comprise the greatest portion of our assets. We know that before 5G there was a consensus among most realtors and appraisers that a cell tower in front of a home devalued the home by at least 20%. We are concerned that a 5G cell site in front of a home may render the home unmarketable. 5G is a different animal. In all previous generations of wireless 2G, 3G, 4G the telecom industry promised the technology would not cause cancer because it did not heat tissue. They are not saying that with 5G, because 5G does heat tissue. 5G will exceed the safety limits now in place by the FCC because it heats tissue, and thermal protection was the only protection offered by previous FCC "safety standards". As soon as the public realizes this, no one will want to buy a house with a 5G tower in front of it.
- 4. The Board of Supervisors must not fail to protect San Diego County citizens. Right now these 5G small cells can be installed with telecom submitting a ministerial permit (just a rubberstamp by the County on a piece of paper with no hearing and no appeal) with no consideration for our opinions, our safety, our health, our property values and our privacy. Our privacy is being lost to 5G with the collection of data at every level of our lives. There are no restrictions on who collects this data and who the second, third and fourth party buyers will be. This mass data collection is a breach of privacy that should demand a moratorium instantly.
- 5. We want 1000 foot setbacks for the following: schools, daycare centers, churches with daycare centers, all hospitals, "quiet zone" laboratories for RF testing of public safety equipment, and fire stations. We want a minimum of 100 foot setbacks from our homes. We would prefer 500 feet as four other cities in California have done in their ordinances. We find zero setbacks from our homes suggested by County staff completely unacceptable. Our intention is not to defy the FCC directive which states an effective ban in residential areas is prohibited if setbacks create such an effect. However, we choose to protect our real estate values over the telecommunication industry's convenience and profit, and demand setbacks that protect our residences. We are willing to sit at the table and work with industry to come up with alternate locations for towers.
- 6. We propose wireless free conservation areas/parks to be set aside by San Diego County to protect wildlife and to protect the migratory path of birds. We are aware the FCC "safety standards" do not protect bees, birds, and animals. Because wildlife is unprotected, and because it is known through thousands of peer reviewed studies that EMFs (wireless radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.

- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels below the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

Respectfully & Gratefully, [See next page for signatures]

Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING

THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Name [Sign & Print]:	Faurel L Lemario Laure	1 L. Lemarie
Address PO Box		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Date: 8/5/	1031, 17625 Loma Lindo Dr., Ran	92067
Email Address		
(optional):		
Name of Your Supervisor	of (if you know):	
Please 1) nwint 2) slon v	Worse marga ( & maint) & address 2) conn and 4) and the st. C. H.	

Please: 1) print, 2) sign your name (& print) & address, 3) scan and 4) email to the following: <a href="mailto:lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov.">lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov.</a> Please send before noon on Monday, August 5. If you have difficulty scanning and emailing to the County offices, please call either Susan Foster at 858-756-3532 or Holly Manion at 858-395-5287 and we will make arrangements to have your signed message picked up and delivered to our Supervisors. Thank you so much!

Jacob, Dianne

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 10:39 AM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

FW: Perception of Harm, Wireless Ordinance POD 17-004 5G and Small Cell Antennas

From: Karen Rich < karenlorainerich@gmail.com >

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2019 10:34 AM

To: docs@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Cc:** Cox, Greg <Greg.Cox@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Jacob, Dianne <Dianne.Jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Gaspar, Kristin <Kristin.Gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Fletcher, Nathan (BOS) <Nathan.Fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Desmond, Jim

<Jim.Desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov>

Subject: Perception of Harm, Wireless Ordinance POD 17-004 5G and Small Cell Antennas

Date: 5 August 2019

From: Karen Rich

1964 Swallow Lane Carlsbad CA 92009

Subject: Perception of Harm, Wireless Ordinance POD 17-004 5G and Small Cell Antennas

Dear San Diego County Planning Commissioners,

My residence is in Carlsbad, CA. I am writing this letter to the County Supervisors because I believe that Carlsbad and other cities within San Diego will be adopting the SD County Ordinance.

I have the perception of harm from 5G and small cell microwave antennas in my front yard. I am afraid that the antennas will cause physical harm and financial harm. The evidence is conclusive that microwaves at levels less than the FCC limits cause physical harm. The financial harm is the devaluation of real property because other people have the perception of harm also and won't want to live in my home with an antenna there. I ask the following:

- 1. Please protect us from the placement of antennas near our residences. Require a minimum of 100' setback from 5G and small cell antennas to residential property lines (as was ordered by the planning commissioners).
- 2. Make the construction of 5G and small cell antennas as rigorous as other telecom antennas, require compliance testing to FCC OET 65 and require the following:
  - i) Administrative or higher level permit
  - ii) Noticing of all the occupants within 500 feet
  - iii) Supporting structure must meet ANSI TIA 222 class III pole requirements certified by an independent third-party
  - iv) Prohibit new supporting structures in residential areas unless all other remedies have been exhausted
  - v) Application processing fees including consultants are to be borne by the applicants
- 3. Give the county the power to disapprove an application for any of the reasons stated here:

- i) Conflict with safety and safety-related codes and requirements;
- ii) The facility would not conform to the County's policy of concealment;
- iii) Conflict with the character of a neighborhood or district;
- iv) The use or construction of facilities is contrary to an already stated purpose of a specific zoning or land use designation;
- v) The placement and location of facilities would create an unacceptable safety or financial risk to residents or the safety of the general public, employees and agents of the City or employees of the service provider or other service providers, or the reasonable probability of such;
- vi) The placement and location of a facility would result in a conflict with, compromise in or change in the nature or character of the adjacent surrounding area.
- 4. Make the San Diego County wireless ordinance tough for our protection. Use the example of the city of Hercules that was written by a telecom company found at this link (update for small cell pending): https://www.codepublishing.com/CA/Hercules/#!/Hercules10/Hercules1016.html#10-16

Please consider that the purpose of this ordinance is to protect citizens San Diego County. It is not to provide convenience to telecommunication companies or license to put antennas anywhere they want.

Thank you and Sincerely, Karen Loraine Rich

Christopher Sylvada <csylvada@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 10:48 AM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

5G Wireless

**Attachments:** 

20190805\_104647.jpg

Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Isdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov. Please send before noon on Monday, August 5.

### Santiago, Joana

From:

Mark & Linda Saxon <saxonlm@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 10:47 AM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

Please See the Attached Urgent Letter Regarding the 5G Wireless Ordinance

**Attachments:** 

Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer.pdf

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: Mark Saxon <msaxon@grsm.com>

To: Mark A. Saxon (saxonlm@yahoo.com) <saxonlm@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Monday, August 5, 2019, 10:45:13 AM PDT

Subject: Urgent Message Regarding 5G Wireless Ordinance

This email communication may contain CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH ALSO MAY BE LEGALLY PRIVILEGED and is intended only for the use of the intended recipients identified above. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, dissemination, distribution, downloading, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by reply email, delete the communication and destroy all copies.

GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP YOUR 50 STATE PARTNER™

http://www.grsm.com

## URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Via Email: <u>lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov</u>.

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

- 1. We are asking for a moratorium because the citizens of San Diego County are unaware that the ordinance you are about to pass on August 7th will allow untested 5G cell towers in front of their homes as early as next week. These 5G cell towers emit radiation that has never been tested for health or safety for plants, animals or humans. We need to wait for the 9th and 10th Circuit Court cases brought by other cities to be decided before cell towers are put in place. The courts could turn back the FCC directive that stripped local control from municipalities in the first place.
- 2. The FCC directive strips San Diego County of nearly all aspects of local control. This is wrong and we believe San Diego County should fight in court to protect our local rights to decide crucial issues that impact our lives especially the future well-being of our children.
- 3. Our homes comprise the greatest portion of our assets. We know that before 5G there was a consensus among most realtors and appraisers that a cell tower in front of a home devalued the home by at least 20%. We are concerned that a 5G cell site in front of a home may render the home unmarketable. 5G is a different animal. In all previous generations of wireless 2G, 3G, 4G the telecom industry promised the technology would not cause cancer because it did not heat tissue. They are not saying that with 5G, because 5G does heat tissue. 5G will exceed the safety limits now in place by the FCC because it heats tissue, and thermal protection was the only protection offered by previous FCC "safety standards". As soon as the public realizes this, no one will want to buy a house with a 5G tower in front of it.
- 4. The Board of Supervisors must not fail to protect San Diego County citizens. Right now these 5G small cells can be installed with telecom submitting a ministerial permit (just a rubberstamp by the County on a piece of paper with no hearing and no appeal) with no consideration for our opinions, our safety, our health, our property values and our privacy. Our privacy is being lost to 5G with the collection of data at every level of our lives. There are no restrictions on who collects this data and who the second, third and fourth party buyers will be. This mass data collection is a breach of privacy that should demand a moratorium instantly.
- 5. We want 1000 foot setbacks for the following: schools, daycare centers, churches with daycare centers, all hospitals, "quiet zone" laboratories for RF testing of public safety equipment, and fire stations. We want a minimum of 100 foot setbacks from our homes. We would prefer 500 feet as four other cities in California have done in their ordinances. We find zero setbacks from our homes suggested by County staff completely unacceptable. Our intention is not to defy the FCC directive which states an effective ban in residential areas is prohibited if setbacks create such an effect. However, we choose to protect our real estate

values over the telecommunication industry's convenience and profit, and demand setbacks that protect our residences. We are willing to sit at the table and work with industry to come up with alternate locations for towers.

- 6. We propose wireless free conservation areas/parks to be set aside by San Diego County to protect wildlife and to protect the migratory path of birds. We are aware the FCC "safety standards" do not protect bees, birds, and animals. Because wildlife is unprotected, and because it is known through thousands of peer reviewed studies that EMFs (wireless radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.
- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels below the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects, infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

[See next page for signatures]

### Respectfully & Gratefully,

Mark A. Saxon

Linda D. Saxon June Scape

Physical Address: 3759 Avenida Feliz, Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

Mailing Address: PO Box 3824, Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

Date: August 3, 2019

Email Address: saxonlm@yahoo.com

Tanya Middleton <tanyamiddleton@icloud.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 12:11 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS; Desmond, Jim; Maureen Bacher New Email 2018

Subject:

URGENT MESSAGE REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

**Attachments:** 

CCE05082019.pdf

Maureen Bacher

"Reach high, for stars lie hidden in your soul. Dream deep, for every dream precedes the goal" - Ralph Starr

radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.

- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels below the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects, infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

Respectfully & Gratefully, [See next page for signatures]

# Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Name [Sign & Print]: Backe MAUREEN BACHER.
Address: 15948 VIBBEDUGRS. F. 92091
Date: 68-64-2019
Email Address (optional):
Name of Your Supervisor (if you know):

## JIM DESMOND

Please: 1) print, 2) sign your name (& print) & address, 3) scan and 4) email to the following: <a href="listocs@sdeounty.ca.gov">lsdocs@sdeounty.ca.gov</a>. Please send before noon on Monday, August 5. If you have difficulty scanning and emailing to the County offices, please call either Susan Foster at 858-756-3532 or Holly Manion at 858-395-5287 and we will make arrangements to have your signed message picked up and delivered to our Supervisors. Thank you so much!

Jacob, Dianne

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 12:33 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

FW: 5g Wireless

**Attachments:** 

image2019-08-05-150717.pdf; Legal Disclaimer.txt

From: nichole.adams@ubs.com < nichole.adams@ubs.com >

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2019 12:23 PM

To: Desmond, Jim <Jim.Desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Jacob, Dianne <Dianne.Jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Gaspar, Kristin

<Kristin.Gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Fletcher, Nathan (BOS) <Nathan.Fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Cox, Greg

<Greg.Cox@sdcounty.ca.gov> Cc: john.seiber@ubs.com Subject: 5g Wireless

Please see attached document.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Best reards,

John M. Seiber | Senior Vice President | Wealth Management UBS Financial Services, Inc. | The Seiber Group

1200 Prospect Street, suite 100 La Jolla, CA 92037 (P) 858-551-9402 | (F) 888-584-2642

www.UBS.TheSeiberGroup.com <a>ijohn.seiber@ubs.com</a>

## URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

- 1. We are asking for a moratorium because the citizens of San Diego County are unaware that the ordinance you are about to pass on August 7th will allow untested 5G cell towers in front of their homes as early as next week. These 5G cell towers emit radiation that has never been tested for health or safety for plants, animals or humans. We need to wait for the 9th and 10th Circuit Court cases brought by other cities to be decided before cell towers are put in place. The courts could turn back the FCC directive that stripped local control from municipalities in the first place.
- 2. The FCC directive strips San Diego County of nearly all aspects of local control. This is wrong and we believe San Diego County should fight in court to protect our local rights to decide crucial issues that impact our lives especially the future well-being of our children.
- 3. Our homes comprise the greatest portion of our assets. We know that before 5G there was a consensus among most realtors and appraisers that a cell tower in front of a home devalued the home by at least 20%. We are concerned that a 5G cell site in front of a home may render the home unmarketable. 5G is a different animal. In all previous generations of wireless 2G, 3G, 4G the telecom industry promised the technology would not cause cancer because it did not heat tissue. They are not saying that with 5G, because 5G does heat tissue. 5G will exceed the safety limits now in place by the FCC because it heats tissue, and thermal protection was the only protection offered by previous FCC "safety standards". As soon as the public realizes this, no one will want to buy a house with a 5G tower in front of it.
- 4. The Board of Supervisors must not fail to protect San Diego County citizens. Right now these 5G small cells can be installed with telecom submitting a ministerial permit (just a rubberstamp by the County on a piece of paper with no hearing and no appeal) with no consideration for our opinions, our safety, our health, our property values and our privacy. Our privacy is being lost to 5G with the collection of data at every level of our lives. There are no restrictions on who collects this data and who the second, third and fourth party buyers will be. This mass data collection is a breach of privacy that should demand a moratorium instantly.
- 5. We want 1000 foot setbacks for the following: schools, daycare centers, churches with daycare centers, all hospitals, "quiet zone" laboratories for RF testing of public safety equipment, and fire stations. We want a minimum of 100 foot setbacks from our homes. We would prefer 500 feet as four other cities in California have done in their ordinances. We find zero setbacks from our homes suggested by County staff completely unacceptable. Our intention is not to defy the FCC directive which states an effective ban in residential areas is prohibited if setbacks create such an effect. However, we choose to protect our real estate values over the telecommunication industry's convenience and profit, and demand setbacks

- that protect our residences. We are willing to sit at the table and work with industry to come up with alternate locations for towers.
- 6. We propose wireless free conservation areas/parks to be set aside by San Diego County to protect wildlife and to protect the migratory path of birds. We are aware the FCC "safety standards" do not protect bees, birds, and animals. Because wildlife is unprotected, and because it is known through thousands of peer reviewed studies that EMFs (wireless radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.
- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels below the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects, infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

Respectfully & Gratefully, [See next page for signatures]

## Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Name [Sign & Print]:John Seiber & L. M. Secter	_
Address: 5368 La Glovieta Rancho Santa Fe, CA 9200	07
Date: 8   5   19	
Email Address optional):john.seiber@ubs.com	_
Name of Your Supervisor (if you know):	
Please send before noon on Tuesday August 6 to the following supervisors. You may select your own supervisor or send to all. Remember, Dianne Jacob is the Chairwoman.	
im Desmond: jim.desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov	
Dianne Jacob: dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov	
Kristin Gaspar: kristin gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov	
Nathan Fletcher: Nathan.Fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov	

Greg Cox: greg.cox@sdcounty.ca.gov

srashmi1313@gmail.com

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 12:44 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

5G

Attachments:

Urgent Message BOS - Rashmi Sharma.pdf

Good morning!

Please consider my request.

Thanks, Rashmi

210-421-4806

>

## URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

- 1. We are asking for a moratorium because the citizens of San Diego County are unaware that the ordinance you are about to pass on August 7th will allow untested 5G cell towers in front of their homes as early as next week. These 5G cell towers emit radiation that has never been tested for health or safety for plants, animals or humans. We need to wait for the 9th and 10th Circuit Court cases brought by other cities to be decided before cell towers are put in place. The courts could turn back the FCC directive that stripped local control from municipalities in the first place.
- 2. The FCC directive strips San Diego County of nearly all aspects of local control. This is wrong and we believe San Diego County should fight in court to protect our local rights to decide crucial issues that impact our lives especially the future well-being of our children.
- 3. Our homes comprise the greatest portion of our assets. We know that before 5G there was a consensus among most realtors and appraisers that a cell tower in front of a home devalued the home by at least 20%. We are concerned that a 5G cell site in front of a home may render the home unmarketable. 5G is a different animal. In all previous generations of wireless 2G, 3G, 4G the telecom industry promised the technology would not cause cancer because it did not heat tissue. They are not saying that with 5G, because 5G does heat tissue. 5G will exceed the safety limits now in place by the FCC because it heats tissue, and thermal protection was the only protection offered by previous FCC "safety standards". As soon as the public realizes this, no one will want to buy a house with a 5G tower in front of it.
- 4. The Board of Supervisors must not fail to protect San Diego County citizens. Right now these 5G small cells can be installed with telecom submitting a ministerial permit (just a rubberstamp by the County on a piece of paper with no hearing and no appeal) with no consideration for our opinions, our safety, our health, our property values and our privacy. Our privacy is being lost to 5G with the collection of data at every level of our lives. There are no restrictions on who collects this data and who the second, third and fourth party buyers will be. This mass data collection is a breach of privacy that should demand a moratorium instantly.
- 5. We want 1000 foot setbacks for the following: schools, daycare centers, churches with daycare centers, all hospitals, "quiet zone" laboratories for RF testing of public safety equipment, and fire stations. We want a minimum of 100 foot setbacks from our homes. We would prefer 500 feet as four other cities in California have done in their ordinances. We find zero setbacks from our homes suggested by County staff completely unacceptable. Our intention is not to defy the FCC directive which states an effective ban in residential areas is prohibited if setbacks create such an effect. However, we choose to protect our real estate values over the telecommunication industry's convenience and profit, and demand setbacks

that protect our residences. We are willing to sit at the table and work with industry to come up with alternate locations for towers.

- 6. We propose wireless free conservation areas/parks to be set aside by San Diego County to protect wildlife and to protect the migratory path of birds. We are aware the FCC "safety standards" do not protect bees, birds, and animals. Because wildlife is unprotected, and because it is known through thousands of peer reviewed studies that EMFs (wireless radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.
- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels below the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects, infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

Respectfully & Gratefully, [See next page for signatures]

## Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Name [Sign	n & Print]:	Baryn	Rashmi Sharma	5.
Address:			Diego, CA, 92127	J
Date: 08/05/	2019			
Email Add (optional):	ress	774		
Name of Y	our Supervi	isor (if you know	y):	

Please: 1) print, 2) sign your name (& print) & address, 3) scan and 4) email to the following: <a href="mailto:lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov">lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov</a>. Please send before noon on Monday, August 5.

Jacob, Dianne

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 12:57 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

**Subject:** 

FW: Wireless ordinance POD 17-004 and more

From: Ron Ranson < rranson@ucsd.edu> Sent: Monday, August 05, 2019 12:16 PM

**To:** Cox, Greg <Greg.Cox@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Gaspar, Kristin <Kristin.Gaspar@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Jacob, Dianne <Dianne.Jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Fletcher, Nathan (BOS) <Nathan.Fletcher@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Desmond, Jim

<Jim.Desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov>

Subject: Wireless ordinance POD 17-004 and more

#### **Supervisors:**

- 1. Please protect us from the placement of antennas near our residences. Require a minimum of 100' setback from 5G and small cell antennas to residential property lines (as was ordered by the planning commissioners).
- 2. Make the construction of 5G and small cell antennas as rigorous as other telecom antennas, require compliance testing to FCC OET 65 and require the following:
- i) Administrative or higher level permit
- ii) Noticing of all the occupants within 500 feet
- iii) Supporting structure must meet ANSI TIA 222 class III pole requirements certified by an independent third-party
- iv) Prohibit new supporting structures in residential areas unless all other remedies have been exhausted
- v) Application processing fees including consultants are to be borne by the applicants
- 3. Give the county the power to disapprove an application for any of the reasons stated here:
- i) Conflict with safety and safety-related codes and requirements;
- ii) The facility would not conform to the County's policy of concealment;
- iii) Conflict with the character of a neighborhood or district;

- iv) The use or construction of facilities is contrary to an already stated purpose of a specific zoning or land use designation;
- v) The placement and location of facilities would create an unacceptable safety or financial risk to residents or the safety of the general public, employees and agents of the City or employees of the service provider or other service providers, or the reasonable probability of such;
- vi) The placement and location of a facility would result in a conflict with, compromise in or change in the nature or character of the adjacent surrounding area.
- 4. Make the San Diego County wireless ordinance tough for our protection. Use the example of the city of Hercules that was written by a telecom company found at this link (update for small cell pending):

https://www.codepublishing.com/CA/Hercules/#!/Hercules10/Hercules1016.html#10-16

Please consider that the purpose of this ordinance is to protect citizens San Diego County. It is not to provide convenience to telecommunication companies or license to put antennas anywhere they want.

Sincerely,

Ron Ranson

Leucadia, CA 92024

Kit Calafato <asbpinto@aol.com>

Sent:

Friday, August 02, 2019 6:19 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

5G Cell Towers

**Attachments:** 

5G.jpg

Please add the attached to the Group of signatures for the Moratorium on NOT allowing the untested 5G Cell Towers to progress without proper testing as to their safety.

Thank you, Kit Calafato Del Mar, CA 858-755-8033 asbpinto@aol.com

## Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Name [Sign & Print]: Xit CalaSato
Address: 4744 Sun Valley Rd Del Mary CA 92014
Date: 8-2-19
Email Address (optional): a Sopinto Oaol, (om
Name of Your Supervisor (if you know):
Please: 1) print, 2) sign your name (& print) & address, 3) scan and 4) email to the following: <a href="mailto:lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov">lsdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov</a> . Please send before noon on Monday, August 5.

Nick or Mary La Forte < laforte@usa.com>

Sent:

Sunday, August 04, 2019 2:21 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

5G Wireless Ordinance

Attachments:

2019 5G Wireless Ordinance pg 1.jpg; 2019 5G Wireless Ordinance pg 2.jpg

### URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

- 1. We are asking for a moratorium because the citizens of San Diego County are unaware that the ordinance you are about to pass on August 7th will allow untested 5G cell towers in front of their homes as early as next week. These 5G cell towers emit radiation that has never been tested for health or safety for plants, animals or humans. We need to wait for the 9th and 10th Circuit Court cases brought by other cities to be decided before cell towers are put in place. The courts could turn back the FCC directive that stripped local control from municipalities in the first place.
- 2. The FCC directive strips San Diego County of nearly all aspects of local control. This is wrong and we believe San Diego County should fight in court to protect our local rights to decide crucial issues that impact our lives especially the future well-being of our children.
- 3. Our homes comprise the greatest portion of our assets. We know that before 5G there was a consensus among most realtors and appraisers that a cell tower in front of a home devalued the home by at least 20%. We are concerned that a 5G cell site in front of a home may render the home unmarketable. 5G is a different animal. In all previous generations of wireless 2G, 3G, 4G the telecom industry promised the technology would not cause cancer because it did not heat tissue. They are not saying that with 5G, because 5G does heat tissue. 5G will exceed the safety limits now in place by the FCC because it heats tissue, and thermal protection was the only protection offered by previous FCC "safety standards". As soon as the public realizes this, no one will want to buy a house with a 5G tower in front of it.
- 4. The Board of Supervisors must not fail to protect San Diego County citizens. Right now these 5G small cells can be installed with telecom submitting a ministerial permit (just a rubberstamp by the County on a piece of paper with no hearing and no appeal) with no consideration for our opinions, our safety, our health, our property values and our privacy. Our privacy is being lost to 5G with the collection of data at every level of our lives. There are no restrictions on who collects this data and who the second, third and fourth party buyers will be. This mass data collection is a breach of privacy that should demand a moratorium instantly.
- 5. We want 1000 foot setbacks for the following: schools, daycare centers, churches with daycare centers, all hospitals, "quiet zone" laboratories for RF testing of public safety equipment, and fire stations. We want a minimum of 100 foot setbacks from our homes. We would prefer 500 feet as four other cities in California have done in their ordinances. We find zero setbacks from our homes suggested by County staff completely unacceptable. Our intention is not to defy the FCC directive which states an effective ban in residential areas is prohibited if setbacks create such an effect. However, we choose to protect our real estate values over the telecommunication industry's convenience and profit, and demand setbacks that protect our residences. We are willing to sit at the table and work with industry to come up with alternate locations for towers.
- 6. We propose wireless free conservation areas/parks to be set aside by San Diego County to protect wildlife and to protect the migratory path of birds. We are aware the FCC "safety standards" do not protect bees, birds, and animals. Because wildlife is unprotected, and because it is known through thousands of peer reviewed studies that EMFs (wireless radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like

to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.

- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels below the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects, infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

Respectfully & Gratefully,

Name: Mchalas Lotorto Nicholas LaForto

Address: 15906 Avenida Calma, Rancho Santa Fe, CA. 92091

Date: August 4, 2019

Beth Nelson <br/> beth.nelson@me.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 05, 2019 1:08 PM

To:

FGG-DL, LSDOCS

Subject:

5G wireless ordinance

Attachments:

**BOS Appeal.pdf** 

Please see my attached letter.

Thank you,

Beth Nelson h: 858.756.2322 c: 858.353.5773

## URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

- 1. We are asking for a moratorium because the citizens of San Diego County are unaware that the ordinance you are about to pass on August 7th will allow untested 5G cell towers in front of their homes as early as next week. These 5G cell towers emit radiation that has never been tested for health or safety for plants, animals or humans. We need to wait for the 9th and 10th Circuit Court cases brought by other cities to be decided before cell towers are put in place. The courts could turn back the FCC directive that stripped local control from municipalities in the first place.
- 2. The FCC directive strips San Diego County of nearly all aspects of local control. This is wrong and we believe San Diego County should fight in court to protect our local rights to decide crucial issues that impact our lives especially the future well-being of our children.
- 3. Our homes comprise the greatest portion of our assets. We know that before 5G there was a consensus among most realtors and appraisers that a cell tower in front of a home devalued the home by at least 20%. We are concerned that a 5G cell site in front of a home may render the home unmarketable. 5G is a different animal. In all previous generations of wireless 2G, 3G, 4G the telecom industry promised the technology would not cause cancer because it did not heat tissue. They are not saying that with 5G, because 5G does heat tissue. 5G will exceed the safety limits now in place by the FCC because it heats tissue, and thermal protection was the only protection offered by previous FCC "safety standards". As soon as the public realizes this, no one will want to buy a house with a 5G tower in front of it.
- The Board of Supervisors must not fall to protect San Diego County citizens. Right now these 5G small cells can be installed with telecom submitting a ministerial permit (just a rubberstamp by the County on a piece of paper with no hearing and no appeal) with no consideration for our opinions, our safety, our health, our property values and our privacy. Our privacy is being lost to 5G with the collection of data at every level of our lives. There are no restrictions on who collects this data and who the second, third and fourth party buyers will be. This mass data collection is a breach of privacy that should demand a moratorium instantly.
- 5. We want 1000 foot setbacks for the following: schools, daycare centers, churches with daycare centers, all hospitals, "quiet zone" laboratories for RF testing of public safety equipment, and fire stations. We want a minimum of 100 foot setbacks from our homes. We would prefer 500 feet as four other cities in California have done in their ordinances. We find zero setbacks from our homes suggested by County staff completely unacceptable. Our intention is not to defy the FCC directive which states an effective ban in residential areas is prohibited if setbacks create such an effect. However, we choose to protect our real estate values over the telecommunication industry's convenience and profit, and demand setbacks.

that protect our residences. We are willing to sit at the table and work with industry to come up with alternate locations for towers.

- 6. We propose wireless free conservation areas/parks to be set aside by San Diego County to protect wildlife and to protect the migratory path of birds. We are aware the FCC "safety standards" do not protect bees, birds, and animals. Because wildlife is unprotected, and because it is known through thousands of peer reviewed studies that EMFs (wireless radiation) jeopardize the ecosystem as a whole, we would like to create quiet zones without wireless so that animals do not lose their protected habitat. We need to protect certain airways for migratory birds and other wildlife just as the Board of Supervisors has voted to protect hundreds of thousands of acres of land in San Diego County.
- 7. Require a certificate of completion from each telecommunications applicant. Because the law allows for additional telecommunications equipment to be added to each pole, and because this equipment may be in the form of microphones, cameras, surveillance technology, Homeland Security and other carriers with their own small cells, a certificate of completion tells the County and tells us as homeowners when the job is completed and advises us if additional technology is being added subsequent to the initial installation. All additional equipment should require a separate permit.
- 8. There has not been a single study done by the FCC, the EPA, or the FDA showing 5G is safe, yet 5G cell antennas are going to spring up outside our children's bedroom windows. We refuse to be part of a human experiment that benefits the needs of industry and fails to protect our basic human rights. Our profound concern is heightened for the following reasons: 1) We do not trust the federal government to look out for our health and public safety; 2) We do not trust the FCC "safety standards" to be protective because the World Health Organization's cancer committee, IARC, classified everything on the RF EMF spectrum a 2b "possible human" carcinogen at levels helow the FCC "safety" standard, putting RF in the same category as DDT and diesel fumes; 3) The FCC has never looked at the non-thermal effects of RF radiation [cancer, Alzheimer's, neurodegenerative diseases, ADHD, birth defects, infertility, disabling headaches, sleep disruption, vertigo]. The FCC only protects us from the effects of heat which includes shocks, burns, and heatstroke; 4) The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is the former lead attorney for Verizon and may have a conflict of interest. Therefore, we are looking to our representatives in San Diego County to protect us.
- 9. Because there are no studies proving that 5G is safe, San Diego County should establish a hotline to take complaints from the more than 500,000 residents who live in the County and additional residents who work and come to the County for medical and recreational reasons. This hotline should be staffed by an individual who has training in radiation sickness, known in Medicare and Medicaid billing as "Exposure to radiofrequency, Sequela". These complaints should be tabulated and conveyed monthly to the California Department of Public Health, the EPA, the FDA, and the FCC.

Respectfully & Gratefully, [See next page for signatures]

## Signature page for: URGENT MESSAGE FROM YOUR CONSTITUENTS REGARDING THE 5G WIRELESS ORDINANCE

Name [Sign & Print]: Beth Julson, Beth S. Nelson
Address PO Box 611 (16355 Los Arboles), Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067
Date: 8-5-19
Email Address (optional): beth. nelson@me.com
Name of Your Supervisor (if you know):
T Nosmand

Please: 1) print, 2) sign your name (& print) & address, 3) scan and 4) email to the following: Isdocs@sdcounty.ca.gov. Please send before noon on Monday, August 5.